

RICHMOND COMPREHENSIVE PLAN 2009 ADDENDUM

The 2004 Richmond Comprehensive Plan contains the procedural requirement that the Richmond Planning Board, at the direction of the Town Board, will update the Comprehensive Plan at a maximum interval of every five years. On March 10, 2009, the Town Board, by Resolution, directed the Planning Board to review the 2004 Comprehensive Plan and return to the Town Board with its recommendation in a timely manner.

The Richmond Planning Board has reviewed the 2004 Richmond Comprehensive Plan and offers the following:

- Progress has been made and is ongoing toward achieving many of the goals and objectives stated in the 2004 Plan although much remains to be done
- The goals and objectives in the 2004 Plan that have not been addressed remain worthy of our efforts and methods should be developed to achieve these goals
- Process improvements such as schedules and milestones should be considered so goals can be reached in a more timely and organized manner
- The Richmond community is very similar to what it was in 2004 with only minor variations in population, commercial activity, and public infrastructure

The Richmond Planning Board recognizes that significant volunteer effort will go into achieving the goals listed in the Comprehensive Plan and that volunteer time would be most effectively and efficiently spent working on and achieving the goals and objectives outlined in the 2004 Plan.

Based on the above, it is the position of the Richmond Planning Board that the goals and objectives described in the 2004 Plan remain relevant and that the related steps for implementation will continue to provide much needed direction for protecting and improving our community for at least the next five years. Therefore, the Richmond Planning Board recommends that the Richmond Town Board, by Resolution, determine that the goals and objectives of the 2004 Plan are valuable and worthy of our continuing efforts and that the 2004 Richmond Comprehensive Plan remains unchanged until the next scheduled review.